UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,294	06/16/2005	Jesper Henrik Faurholdt	1PB.017	7520
	7590 12/14/200 CKMON & VOORHE	EXAMINER		
673 S. WASHII	NGTON ST	NGUYEN, CHI Q		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/539,294	FAURHOLDT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHI Q. NGUYEN	3635	

-							
	CHI Q. NGUYEN	3635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request				
periods:  a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date.	e of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	-	in the final reiection, whi	chever is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	•	26(a) and the appropriat	to outonoion foo				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	Barrier with 27 CED 44 27 months						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	acause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing <b>or</b> simplifying t	he issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.</li> </ol>		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>6</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-12</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Richard E. Chilcot, Jr./	/C. Q. N./						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635	Examiner, Art Unit 3635						

Continuation of 13. Other: because the applicant has not compliant the objection to the drawings as set forth in the previous final office action dated 7/20/2009.